# ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen) & The Hon'ble Dr. A. K. Chanda

#### Case No. <u>OA - 134 of 2018</u>

Dr	. Ajoy Mukherjee Versus The State of West I	Bengal & Others.
Serial No. and date of order	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 3
<u>01</u> 09/04/2018	<i>For the Applicant</i> : Mr. Dipak Kumar Pal, Mr. Adhir Kumar Das, Advocates.	
	For the State Respondents : Mr. Sankha Ghosh, Advocate.	
	The instant application has been filed praying	
	for following reliefs:	
	"(a) For an order directing the	
	respondents – authorities to	
	pay salary, pension, gratuity,	
	<b>Group Insurance Savings Fund</b>	
	etc. with interest to the	
	applicant forthwith as the	
	applicant has retired from	
	service on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2009	
	and since then he has been	
	suffering a great financial	
	loss only due to the latches	
	and negligence on the part of	
	the respondents – authorities;	
	(b) For an order directing the	
	respondents for interim	
	payment of salary, pension,	

## **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Dr. Ajoy Mukherjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

1       2       parties when necess         3       gratuity and Group Insurance         Savings Fund etc. with       interest forthwith during the         pendency of this matter before       this Hon'ble Tribunal.         (c) For costs and incidentals       (d) For any other relief / reliefs         as the Hon'ble Tribunal may       deem fit and proper for the         ends of justice."       However, the Counsel for the respondents         has taken preliminary objection on the ground of       plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for         both the salaries as well as the pension in a single       petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year         2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from       1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the         application is barred by limitation.       However, the Counsel for the applicant has         fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this       application.         In view of the above, the OA is disposed of       In view of the above, the OA is disposed of			Office action with date
1       2       3         gratuity and Group Insurance       Savings Fund etc. with interest forthwith during the pendency of this matter before this Hon'ble Tribunal.       (c) For costs and incidentals         (d) For any other relief / reliefs       as the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice."       Advector         However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation.         However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application.	date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
gratuity and Group InsuranceSavingsFund etc. withinterest forthwith during thependency of this matter beforethis Hon'ble Tribunal.(c) For costs and incidentals(d) For any other relief / reliefsas the Hon'ble Tribunal maydeem fit and proper for theends of justice."However, the Counsel for the respondentshas taken preliminary objection on the ground ofplural remedies as the applicant has prayed forboth the salaries as well as the pension in a singlepetition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, theapplication is barred by limitation.However, the Counsel for the applicant haspaired by limitation.In wiew of the above, the OA is disposed of	1	2	parties when necessary 3
<ul> <li>interest forthwith during the pendency of this matter before this Hon'ble Tribunal.</li> <li>(c) For costs and incidentals</li> <li>(d) For any other relief / reliefs as the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice."</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation.</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application.</li> <li>In view of the above, the OA is disposed of</li> </ul>	-	gratuity and Group Insurance	
<ul> <li>pendency of this matter before this Hon'ble Tribunal.</li> <li>(c) For costs and incidentals</li> <li>(d) For any other relief / reliefs as the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice."</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation.</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application.</li> <li>In view of the above, the OA is disposed of</li> </ul>		Savings Fund etc. with	
this Hon'ble Tribunal. (c) For costs and incidentals (d) For any other relief / reliefs as the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice." However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		interest forthwith during the	
<ul> <li>(c) For costs and incidentals</li> <li>(d) For any other relief / reliefs <ul> <li>as the Hon'ble Tribunal may</li> <li>deem fit and proper for the</li> <li>ends of justice."</li> </ul> </li> <li>However, the Counsel for the respondents <ul> <li>has taken preliminary objection on the ground of</li> <li>plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for</li> <li>both the salaries as well as the pension in a single</li> <li>petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year</li> <li>2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from</li> <li>1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the</li> <li>application is barred by limitation.</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the applicant has</li> <li>fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this</li> <li>application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate</li> <li>application.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		pendency of this matter before	
<ul> <li>(d) For any other relief / reliefs</li> <li>as the Hon'ble Tribunal may</li> <li>deem fit and proper for the</li> <li>ends of justice."</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the respondents</li> <li>has taken preliminary objection on the ground of</li> <li>plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for</li> <li>both the salaries as well as the pension in a single</li> <li>petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year</li> <li>2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from</li> <li>1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the</li> <li>application is barred by limitation.</li> <li>However, the Counsel for the applicant has</li> <li>fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this</li> <li>application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate</li> <li>application.</li> <li>In view of the above, the OA is disposed of</li> </ul>		this Hon'ble Tribunal.	
as the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper for the ends of justice." However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		(c) For costs and incidentals	
deem fit and proper for the ends of justice." However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		(d) For any other relief / reliefs	
ends of justice." However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		as the Hon'ble Tribunal may	
However, the Counsel for the respondents has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		deem fit and proper for the	
has taken preliminary objection on the ground of plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		ends of justice."	
plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		However, the Counsel for the respondents	
both the salaries as well as the pension in a single petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		has taken preliminary objection on the ground of	
petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year 2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		plural remedies as the applicant has prayed for	
2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from 1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		both the salaries as well as the pension in a single	
1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		petition. Secondly, the applicant retired in the year	
application is barred by limitation. However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		2009, but, he is claiming salary for the period from	
However, the Counsel for the applicant has fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		1989 to 2009 i.e. after 9 years. Therefore, the	
fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		application is barred by limitation.	
application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		However, the Counsel for the applicant has	
application. In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		fairly submitted that he wants to withdraw this	
In view of the above, the OA is disposed of		application with liberty to file a fresh appropriate	
		application.	
as withdrawn		In view of the above, the OA is disposed of	
us withardwh.		as withdrawn.	

#### **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Dr. Ajoy Mukherjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

••••••

34 of 2018         Order of the Tribunal         with signature		Order of the TribunalOffice action with and dated signatu parties when nece		Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary.
2		3		
Dr. A. K. CHANDA	URMITA DATTA (SEN)			
1111111111111111	MEMBER(0)			
	Urgent certified co	2 Urgent certified copy. Dr. A. K. CHANDA URMITA DATTA (SEN)		

Page No. 3

#### Page No. 4

## **ORDER SHEET** – (Continuation)

Form No.

Dr. Ajoy Mukherjee

Vs

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. <u>OA – 134 of 2018</u>				
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal	Office action with date		
date of order	with signature	and dated signature of		
		parties when necessary.		
1	2	3		